## Mechanical Sympathy in Go



You don't have to be an engineer to be a racing driver, but you do have to have mechanical sympathy

Sir Jackie Stewart - three-time F1 world champion
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## Mechanical Sympathy Applied to IT

- Concept applied to software by Martin Thompson
- As developers, we don't need to be hardware engineers
- Yet, having an understanding of how does a machine work can make us a better developer (algorithms, data structures)
- Today: How to be a better Go developer by understanding how CPUs are working

Teiva Harsanyi
y teivah

## Software Engineer - Beat



## HIRING

## SOTTWARE ENGINEERS

ON CUTTING-EDGE TECHNOLOGIES

DISCOVER YOUR NEXT CHALLENGE IN AMSTERDAM, ATHENS OR REMOTE

beat.careers

## CPU Architecture

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Locality of Reference } \\
\text { Data-Oriented Design } \\
\text { Caching Pitfall } \\
\text { Concurrency }
\end{gathered}
$$
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## CPU Architecture - Intel Core i5-7300

| L 1 <br> $\sim$ | $\sim 1 \mathrm{~ns}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| L 2 | $\sim 3$ times slower than L1 |
| L 3 | $\sim 10$ times slower than L1 |

Main Memory
~50/100 times slower than L1

## CPU Architecture - Intel Core i5-7300



As a developer,
I would like my application to leverage CPU caches
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Caching Pitfall
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- Instead of copying a single variable, the processor will copy a cache line
- Cache line: contiguous segment of memory of a fixed size (usually 64 bytes)
- Limited number of cache miss (compulsory miss)
- Theory (other applications can run at the same time on the same core)
- Cache placement policy (L1, L2 or L3?)

GOPHERCON
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- To help the CPU, an application needs to leverage locality of reference
- ... and predictability
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## Linked List Iteration

- Iterating on a linked list that should be allocated contiguously should be decent

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Linked list iteration } \\
& \text { type Node struct \{ } \\
& \text { Value int64 } \\
& \text { Next *Node } \\
& \} \begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
& \text { Main memory }
\end{aligned}
$$
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Linked list iteration
type Node struct \{
Value int64
Next *Node
\}
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## Linked List Iteration

- Iterating on a linked list that should be allocated contiguously should be decent
sum += s[i]
\}

Slice iteration: one element out of two

```
for i := 0; i < len(s); i+=2 {
```

```
for i := 0; i < len(s); i+=2 {
```

Main memory
~230\% slower


## Linked List Iteration

- Iterating on a linked list that should be allocated contiguously should be decent

```
Slice iteration: one element out of two
```

Slice iteration: one element out of two
for i := 0; i < len(s); i+=2 {
for i := 0; i < len(s); i+=2 {
sum += s[i]
sum += s[i]
}

```
}
```
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## Linked List Iteration

- Iterating on a linked list that should be allocated contiguously should be decent

- Possible spatial locality
- But not predictable for the CPU (no line fetching)
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## Backwards Iteration

- What if we iterate backwards on a slice?

- The CPU was able to predict that we iterate backwards
- Slightly faster because the bound check is faster
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- CPU caches are extremely fast
- A CPU doesn't cache a single variable but a cache line
- I can help the CPU if my application leverages:
- Locality of reference
- Predictability
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## Data-Oriented Design

- "The purpose of all programs and all parts of those programs is to transform data from one form to another" - Mike Acton
- Object-Oriented design is a way to mirror how we interact with the real world
- Yet, hardware does not like objects
- Data-Oriented design is about organising data in a way to get the most value out of each cache line



## Data-Oriented Design

- 2 concrete examples:
- Structure alignment
- Slice of structures vs structure of slices
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10k slice: 3750 cache lines (cache line: 64 bytes)
```

type I2 struct {
i int64
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}

```

Structure alignment


16 bytes

In memory

\section*{}

10k slice: 2500 cache lines

\section*{Structure Alignment}
```

type I1 struct {
b1 bool
i int64
b2 bool
}

```
```

type I2 struct {

```
type I2 struct {
        i int64
        i int64
        b1 bool
        b1 bool
        b2 bool
        b2 bool
}
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}
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\section*{Structure Alignment}

- Memory footprint (GC pressure)
- Iterating over a compact data structure is more efficient as it requires less caches lines
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b int64
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var r int32
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}
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```

type Struct2 struct {
a []int32
b []int64
}
func BenchmarkStructureOfSlices(b *testing.B) {
s := Struct2{
a: make([]int32, it),
b: make([]int64, it),
}
var r int32
b.ResetTimer()
for i := 0; i < b.N; i++ {
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\section*{Slice of Structures vs Structure of Slices}
```

type Struct1 struct {
a int32
b int64
}
func BenchmarkSliceOfStructures(b *testing.B) {
s := make([]Struct1, it)
var r int32
b.ResetTimer()
for i := 0; i < b.N; i++ {
for i := 0; i < it; i++ {
r = s[i].a
}
}
result = r
}

```
```

type Struct2 struct {
a []int32
b []int64
}
func BenchmarkStructureOfSlices(b *testing.B) {
s := Struct2{
a: make([]int32, it),
b: make([]int64, it),
}
var r int32
b.ResetTimer()
for i := 0; i < b.N; i++ {
for i := 0; i < it; i++ {
r=s.a[i]
}
}
result = r

```
\}
```

type Struct1 struct {
a int32
b int64
}
s := make([]Struct1, it)

```

Slice of structs
```

Struct of slices

```
Struct of slices
type Struct2 struct {
type Struct2 struct {
    a []int32
    a []int32
    b []int64
    b []int64
}
}
s := Struct2{
s := Struct2{
    a: make([]int32, it),
    a: make([]int32, it),
    b: make([]int64, it),
    b: make([]int64, it),
}
```

}

```
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\section*{Slice of Structures vs Structure of Slices}
- A concrete example: Go standard flate package
- Flate is a compression algorithm based on two other algorithms: huffman encoding an LZ77 compression

\section*{Slice of Structures vs Structure of Slices}

\section*{Go flate package}
```

type hcode struct {
code, len uint16
}
type huffmanEncoder struct {
codes []hcode
freqcache []literalNode
bitCount [17]int32
Ins byLiteral // stored to avoid repeated allocation in generate
lfs byFreq // stored to avoid repeated allocation in generate
}

```
https://github.com/golang/go: src/compress/flate/huffman_code.go
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}
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\section*{Go flate package}

Go flate package modified
```

type hcode struct {
code, len uint16
}
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type huffmanEncoder struct \{
    codes []hcode
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    bitCount [17]int32
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\}
```

type hcodes struct {
code []uint16
len []uint16
}
type huffmanEncoder struct {
codes
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https://github.com/golang/go: src/compress/flate/huffman_code.go
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```
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}

```
type huffmanEncoder struct \{
    codes []hcode
    freqcache []literalNode
    bitCount [17]int32
    lns byLiteral // stored to avoid repeated allocation in generate
    lfs byFreq // stored to avoid repeated allocation in generate
\}
type hcodes struct \{
type huffmanEncoder struct \{
codes hcodes
freqcache []literalNode
bitCount [17]int32
Ins byLiteral // stored to avoid repeated allocation in generate
lfs byFreq // stored to avoid repeated allocation in generate

\section*{Slice of Structures vs Structure of Slices}

\section*{Go flate package}

\section*{Go flate package modified}
```

type hcode struct {
code, len uint16
}
type huffmanEncoder struct {
codes []hcode
fregcache []literalNode
bitCount [17]int32
lns byLiteral // stored to avoid repeated allocation in generate
lfs byFreq // stored to avoid repeated allocation in generate

```
\}
```

type hcodes struct {
code []uint16
len []uint16
}
type huffmanEncoder struct {
codes
hcodes
fregcache []literalNode
bitCount [17]int32
Ins byLiteral // stored to avoid repeated allocation in generate
Lfs byFreq // stored to avoid repeated allocation in generate
}

```
https://github.com/golang/go: src/compress/flate/huffman_code.go
- 5 iteration loops on either hcode.code or hcode.len

\section*{Slice of Structures vs Structure of Slices}

\section*{Go flate package}

\section*{Go flate package modified}
```

type hcode struct {
code, len uint16
}
type huffmanEncoder struct {
codes []hcode
fregcache []literalNode
bitCount [17]int32
lns byLiteral // stored to avoid repeated allocation in generate
lfs byFreq // stored to avoid repeated allocation in generate

```
\}
type hcodes struct \{
    code []uint16
    len []uint16
\}
type huffmanEncoder struct \{
    codes
    codes hcodes
    fregcache []literalNode
    bitCount [17]int32
    lns byLiteral // stored to avoid repeated allocation in generate
    lfs byFreq // stored to avoid repeated allocation in generate
\}
https://github.com/golang/go: src/compress/flate/huffman_code.go
- 5 iteration loops on either hcode.code or hcode.len
- Example:
```

for i := 0; i < numCodegens; i++ {
value := uint(w.codegenEncoding.codes[codegenOrder[i]].len)
w.writeBits(int32(value), nb:3)
}

```

\section*{Slice of Structures vs Structure of Slices}

\section*{Go flate package}

\section*{Go flate package modified}
```

type hcode struct {
code, len uint16
}
type huffmanEncoder struct {
codes []hcode
fregcache []literalNode
bitCount [17]int32
lns byLiteral // stored to avoid repeated allocation in generate
lfs byFreq // stored to avoid repeated allocation in generate

```
\}
```

type hcodes struct {
code []uint16
len []uint16
}
type huffmanEncoder struct {
codes
hcodes
fregcache []literalNode
bitCount [17]int32
lns byLiteral // stored to avoid repeated allocation in generate
lfs byFreq // stored to avoid repeated allocation in generate
}

```
https://github.com/golang/go: src/compress/flate/huffman_code.go
- 5 iteration loops on either hcode.code or hcode.len
- Example:
```

for i := 0; i < numCodegens; i++ {
value := uint(w.codegenEncoding.codes[codegenOrder[i]].len)
w.writeBits(int32(value), nb:3)
}

```
for i := 0; i < numCodegens; i++ \{
    value := uint(w.codegenEncoding.codes.len[codegenOrder[i]])
    w.writeBits(int32(value), nb:3)
\(\}\)

\section*{Slice of Structures vs Structure of Slices}

\section*{Go flate package}

\section*{Go flate package modified}
```

type hcode struct {
code, len uint16
}

```
```

type hcodes struct {
code []uint16
len []uint16
}
type huffmanEncoder struct {
codes
hcodes
fregcache []literalNode
bitCount [17]int32
lns byLiteral // stored to avoid repeated allocation in generate
lfs byFreq // stored to avoid repeated allocation in generate

```
\}
https://github.com/golang/go: src/compress/flate/huffman_code.go
- 5 iteration loops on either hcode.code or hcode.len
- Example:
```

for i := 0; i < numCodegens; i++ {
value := uint(w.codegenEncoding.codes[codegenOrder[i]].len)
w.writeBits(int32(value), nb: 3)
}

```
```

for i := 0; i < numCodegens; i++ {
value := uint(w.codegenEncoding.codes.len[codegenOrder[i]])
w.writeBits(int32(value), nb:3)
}

```
- Metrics?
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ( & Thermal State Instrument & Current &  \\
\hline \(\square\) & Core 0 SMT & CPU Sampl. L1D_PEND_LID.REPLA. &  \\
\hline 回 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Main Thread 0x341a \\
flate1 (925)
\end{tabular} & CPU Sampl. L1D_PEND_. L1D.REPLA.. & \begin{tabular}{l}
 \\

\end{tabular} \\
\hline ® & Main Thread 0x342c
\(\square\) flate2 (926) & CPU Sampl. L1D_PEND_. L1D.REPLA.. & \begin{tabular}{l}
 \\

\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}



\section*{Slice of Structures vs Structure of Slices}

\author{
Go flate package
}

Go flate package modified
```

type hcode struct {
code, len uint16
}
type huffmanEncoder struct {
codes []hcode
fregcache []literalNode
bitCount [17]int32
lns byLiteral // stored to avoid repeated allocation in generate
lfs byFreq // stored to avoid repeated allocation in generate
}

```
```

type hcodes struct {
code []uint16
len []uint16
}
type huffmanEncoder struct {
codes
hcodes
fregcache []literalNode
bitCount [17]int32
lns byLiteral // stored to avoid repeated allocation in generate
Lfs byFreq // stored to avoid repeated allocation in generate

```


\section*{Slice of Structures vs Structure of Slices}

\section*{Go flate package}

\section*{Go flate package modified}
}
```

type hcode struct {

```
type hcode struct {
    code, len uint16
    code, len uint16
type huffmanEncoder struct {
type huffmanEncoder struct {
    codes []hcode
    codes []hcode
    fregcache []literalNode
    fregcache []literalNode
    bitCount [17]int32
    bitCount [17]int32
    lns byLiteral // stored to avoid repeated allocation in generate
    lns byLiteral // stored to avoid repeated allocation in generate
    lfs byFreq // stored to avoid repeated allocation in generate
    lfs byFreq // stored to avoid repeated allocation in generate
}
```

type hcodes struct \{
code []uint16
len []uint16
\}
type huffmanEncoder struct \{
codes
hcodes
freqcache []literalNode
bitCount [17]int32
Ins byLiteral // stored to avoid repeated allocation in generate
lfs byFreq // stored to avoid repeated allocation in generate
\}


Between 21\% and 28\% faster



- I can design algorithms to leverage CPU caches


- I can design algorithms to leverage CPU caches
- I can also organise my data to get the most value out of cache lines

- I can design algorithms to leverage CPU caches
- I can also organise my data to get the most value out of cache lines
- Unit stride $>$ Constant stride $>$ Non-unit stride


## CPU Architecture

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Locality of Reference } \\
& \text { Data-Oriented Design } \\
& \text { Caching Pitfall }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Concurrency



- Two-dimensional array of int64s 64 bytes cache line (8 elements)
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- Traverse each row multiple times the first 8 columns only
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- Two-dimensional array of int64s 64 bytes cache line (8 elements)
- Traverse each row multiple times the first 8 columns only

- Two-dimensional array of int64s 64 bytes cache line ( 8 elements)
- Traverse each row multiple times the first 8 columns only
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- Two-dimensional array of int64s 64 bytes cache line (8 elements)
- Traverse each row multiple times the first 8 columns only

- Two-dimensional array of int64s 64 bytes cache line (8 elements)
- Traverse each row multiple times the first 8 columns only

- Two-dimensional array of int64s 64 bytes cache line ( 8 elements)
- Traverse each row multiple times the first 8 columns only

```
for 0..k {
    for i in 0..rows {
        for j in 0.. 8{
                sum +=a[i][j]
        }
    }
}
```



- Two-dimensional array of int64s 64 bytes cache line ( 8 elements)
- Traverse each row multiple times the first 8 columns only
n columns (variable)

```
for 0..k {
    for i in 0..rows {
        for j in 0.. 8{
                sum +=a[i][j]
            }
        }
}
```

- rows is small enough so that each line should fit in

8 int64s
 the cache

- Two-dimensional array of int64s 64 bytes cache line ( 8 elements)
- Traverse each row multiple times the first 8 columns only

```
```

for 0..k {

```
```

for 0..k {
for i in 0..rows {
for i in 0..rows {
for j in 0.. 8 {
for j in 0.. 8 {
sum +=a[i][j]
sum +=a[i][j]
}
}
}
}
}

```
```

}

```
```

- rows is small enough so that each line should fit in n columns (variable) the cache
- Two-dimensional array of int64s 64 bytes cache line ( 8 elements)
- Traverse each row multiple times the first 8 columns only

```
```

for 0..k {

```
```

for 0..k {
for i in 0..rows {
for i in 0..rows {
for j in 0.. 8 {
for j in 0.. 8 {
sum +=a[i][j]
sum +=a[i][j]
}
}
}
}
}

```
```

}

```
```

- rows is small enough so that each line should fit in the cache
- The execution time depends on $\mathbf{n}$ (?)


## 8 int64s

Cache line
Cache line
Cache line
Cache line
Cache

- Two-dimensional array of int64s 64 bytes cache line ( 8 elements)
- Traverse each row multiple times the first

```
```

for 0..k {

```
```

for 0..k {
for i in 0..rows {
for i in 0..rows {
for j in 0.. 8 {
for j in 0.. 8 {
sum +=a[i][j]
sum +=a[i][j]
}
}
}
}
}

```
```

}

```
```

- rows is small enough so that each line should fit in the cache
- The execution time depends on $\mathbf{n}$ (?)
- Depending on $\mathbf{n}$, the execution can be up to 100\% slower


## 8 int64s



Matrix in memory

| 0000000 |
| :--- |
| 0000100 |
| 0001000 |
| 0001100 |
| 0010000 |
| 0010100 |
| 0011000 |
| 0011100 |
| 0100000 |
| 0110100 |
| 0111000 |
| 0111100 |
| 1000000 |

Cache

| 0010000 |
| :---: |
| $\ldots$ |
| $\ldots$ |
| $\ldots$ |
| $\ldots$ |
| $\ldots$ |
| $\ldots$ |

Matrix in memory

| A block is referenced by an address | 0000000 |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 0000100 |
| 0001000 |  |
| 0001100 |  |
| 0010000 |  |
| 0010100 |  |
| 0011000 |  |
| 0011100 |  |
| 0100000 |  |
| 0110100 |  |
| 0111000 |  |
| 0111100 |  |
| 1000000 |  |

Cache

| 0010000 |
| :---: |
| $\ldots$ |
| $\ldots$ |
| $\ldots$ |
| $\ldots$ |
| $\ldots$ |
| $\ldots$ |

Matrix in memory

| A block is referenced by an address | 0000000 |
| :---: | :---: |
| We want to iterate on each blue block | 0000100 |
|  | 0001000 |
|  | 0001100 |
|  | 0010000 |
|  | 0010100 |
| 0011000 |  |
| 0011100 |  |
| 0100000 |  |
| 0110100 |  |
| 0111000 |  |
| 0111100 |  |

Cache

| 0010000 |
| :---: |
| $\ldots$ |
| $\ldots$ |
| $\ldots$ |
| $\ldots$ |
| $\ldots$ |
| $\ldots$ |

Matrix in memory

| A block is referenced by an address | 0000000 |
| :---: | :---: |
| We want to iterate on each blue block | 0000100 |
| 0001000 |  |
| 0001100 |  |
| 0010000 |  |
| 0010100 |  |
| 0011000 |  |
| 0011100 |  |
| 0100000 |  |
| 0110100 |  |
| 0111000 |  |
| 0111100 |  |
| 1000000 |  |


| Program: |
| :--- |
| $\ldots$ |
| load address 0000000 |
| $\ldots$ |

Matrix in memory


- In a fully associative cache, we may have to traverse the whole cache to check if an address is present
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- In a fully associative cache, we may have to traverse the whole cache to check if an address is present
- Example on an Intel Core i5-7300 L1D: we need to iterate on 512 lines


Matrix in memory


- In a fully associative cache, we may have to traverse the whole cache to check if an address is present
- Example on an Intel Core i5-7300 L1D: we need to iterate on 512 lines
- Solution: partitioning


Matrix
Load
in memory

| 0000000 |
| :---: |
| 0000100 |
| 0001000 |
| 0001100 |
| 0010000 |
| 0010100 |
| 0011000 |
| 0011100 |
| 0100000 |
| 0110100 |
| 0111000 |
| 0111100 |
| 1000000 |

Cache


Matrix
Load
in memory

| 0000000 | 0000000 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0000100 |  |
| 0001000 |  |
| 0001100 |  |
| 0010000 |  |
| 0010100 |  |
| 0011000 |  |
| 0011100 |  |
| 0100000 |  |
| 0110100 |  |
| 0111000 |  |
| 0111100 |  |
| 1000000 |  |

Cache


Matrix
Load in memory

| 0000000 | 0000000 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0000100 |  |
| 0001000 |  |
| 0001100 |  |
| 0010000 |  |
| 0010100 |  |
| 0011000 |  |
| 0011100 |  |
| 0100000 |  |
| 0110100 |  |
| 0111000 |  |
| 0111100 |  |
| 1000000 |  |

Cache

E.g Block size: 4 bits

Matrix
Load in memory

| 0000000 |
| :--- |
| 0000100 |
| 0001000 |
| 0001100 |
| 0010000 |
| 0010100 |
| 0011000 |
| 0011100 |
| 0100000 |
| 0110100 |
| 0111000 |
| 0111100 |
| 1000000 |

0000000
E.g Block size: 4 bits

$$
4=2^{2}
$$

2 represents the block offset (bo)

Cache
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Matrix
Load in memory

| 0000000 |
| :--- |
| 0000100 |
| 0001000 |
| 0001100 |
| 0010000 |
| 0010100 |
| 0011000 |
| 0011100 |
| 0100000 |
| 0110100 |
| 0111000 |
| 0111100 |
| 1000000 |

$00000 \frac{00}{\text { bo }}$

Cache

E.g Block size: 4 bits

$$
4=2^{2}
$$

2 represents the block offset (bo)

GOPHERCON

Matrix
Load in memory

| 0000000 |
| :--- |
| 0000100 |
| 0001000 |
| 0001100 |
| 0010000 |
| 0010100 |
| 0011000 |
| 0011100 |
| 0100000 |
| 0110100 |
| 0111000 |
| 0111100 |
| 1000000 |

A cache is partitioned into sets

Cache

E.g Block size: 4 bits

$$
4=2^{2}
$$

2 represents the block offset (bo)

GOPHERCON

Matrix
Load in memory

| 0000000 |
| :--- |
| 0000100 |
| 0001000 |
| 0001100 |
| 0010000 |
| 0010100 |
| 0011000 |
| 0011100 |
| 0100000 |
| 0110100 |
| 0111000 |
| 0111100 |
| 1000000 |

$00000 \frac{00}{\text { bo }}$
A cache is partitioned into sets A block can belong to only one set

Cache

E. $g$ Block size: 4 bits

$$
4=2^{2}
$$

2 represents the
block offset (bo)

Matrix
Load in memory

| 0000000 |
| :--- |
| 0000100 |
| 0001000 |
| 0001100 |
| 0010000 |
| 0010100 |
| 0011000 |
| 0011100 |
| 0100000 |
| 0110100 |
| 0111000 |
| 0111100 |
| 1000000 |

$$
000000 \frac{0}{\text { bo }}
$$

A cache is partitioned into sets A block can belong to only one set $k$-way associative cache: $k$ lines per set

Cache

E.g Block size: 4 bits

$$
4=2^{2}
$$

2 represents the
block offset (bo)

Matrix
Load in memory

| 0000000 |
| :--- |
| 0000100 |
| 0001000 |
| 0001100 |
| 0010000 |
| 0010100 |
| 0011000 |
| 0011100 |
| 0100000 |
| 0110100 |
| 0111000 |
| 0111100 |
| 1000000 |

$00000 \frac{00}{\text { bo }}$

A cache is partitioned into sets A block can belong to only one set $k$-way associative cache: $k$ lines per set

Cache

E.g Block size: 4 bits

$$
4=2^{2}
$$

2 represents the
block offset (bo)

Matrix
Load in memory

| 0000000 |
| :--- |
| 0000100 |
| 0001000 |
| 0001100 |
| 0010000 |
| 0010100 |
| 0011000 |
| 0011100 |
| 0100000 |
| 0110100 |
| 0111000 |
| 0111100 |
| 1000000 |

$$
00000 \frac{00}{\text { bo }}
$$

E.g Block size: 4 bits

$$
4=2^{2}
$$

2 represents the
block offset (bo)
E.g. 8 lines, 2-way associative

$$
\text { nb of sets }=8 / 2=4
$$

A cache is partitioned into sets A block can belong to only one set $k$-way associative cache: $k$ lines per set Cache


Matrix in memory

| 0000000 |
| :--- |
| 0000100 |
| 0001000 |
| 0001100 |
| 0010000 |
| 0010100 |
| 0011000 |
| 0011100 |
| 0100000 |
| 0110100 |
| 0111000 |
| 0111100 |
| 1000000 |

E.g Block size: 4 bits

$$
4=2^{2}
$$

2 represents the
block offset (bo)

Cache


Load
A cache is partitioned into sets A block can belong to only one set k-way associative cache: $k$ lines per set
E.g. 8 lines, 2-way associative nb of sets $=8 / 2=4$

$$
00000 \frac{00}{\text { bo }}
$$

Matrix in memory

| 0000000 |
| :--- |
| 0000100 |
| 0001000 |
| 0001100 |
| 0010000 |
| 0010100 |
| 0011000 |
| 0011100 |
| 0100000 |
| 0110100 |
| 0111000 |
| 0111100 |
| 1000000 |

E.g Block size: 4 bits

$$
4=2^{2}
$$

2 represents the
block offset (bo)

Cache

E.g. 8 lines, 2-way associative

$$
4=2^{2}
$$

2 represents the set index (si)

$$
\text { nb of sets }=8 / 2=4
$$

Load
A cache is partitioned into sets A block can belong to only one set k-way associative cache: $k$ lines per set

$$
00000 \frac{00}{\text { bo }}
$$



Matrix in memory

| 0000000 |
| :---: |
| 0000100 |
| 0001000 |
| 0001100 |
| 0010000 |
| 0010100 |
| 0011000 |
| 0011100 |
| 0100000 |
| 0110100 |
| 0111000 |
| 0111100 |
| 1000000 |

E.g Block size: 4 bits

$$
4=2^{2}
$$

2 represents the
block offset (bo)

Load

$$
00000 \frac{00}{\text { si }} \frac{0}{\text { bo }}
$$

A cache is partitioned into sets A block can belong to only one set k-way associative cache: $k$ lines per set

Cache

E.g. 8 lines, 2-way associative nb of sets $=8 / 2=4$

$$
4=2^{2}
$$

2 represents the set index (si)

Matrix

## Load

in memory

| 0000000 |
| :--- |
| 0000100 |
| 0001000 |
| 0001100 |
| 0010000 |
| 0010100 |
| 0011000 |
| 0011100 |
| 0100000 |
| 0110100 |
| 0111000 |
| 0111100 |
| 1000000 |

This address belongs to set 0
$000 \frac{00}{\text { si }} \frac{00}{\text { bo }}$

A cache is partitioned into sets A block can belong to only one set $k$-way associative cache: $k$ lines per set
E.g. 8 lines, 2-way associative
nb of sets $=8 / 2=4$

$$
4=2^{2}
$$

2 represents the set index (si)

Cache

E.g Block size: 4 bits

$$
4=2^{2}
$$

2 represents the
block offset (bo)

Matrix

## Load

in memory

| 0000000 |
| :--- |
| 0000100 |
| 0001000 |
| 0001100 |
| 0010000 |
| 0010100 |
| 0011000 |
| 0011100 |
| 0100000 |
| 0110100 |
| 0111000 |
| 0111100 |
| 1000000 |

This address belongs to set 0
$\frac{000}{\text { tb }} \frac{00}{\text { si }} \frac{00}{\text { bo }}$

A cache is partitioned into sets A block can belong to only one set $k$-way associative cache: $k$ lines per set
E.g. 8 lines, 2-way associative nb of sets $=8 / 2=4$

$$
4=2^{2}
$$

2 represents the set index (si)

Cache

E.g Block size: 4 bits

$$
4=2^{2}
$$

2 represents the
block offset (bo)


Matrix

## Load

in memory

| 0000000 |
| :--- |
| 0000100 |
| 0001000 |
| 0001100 |
| 0010000 |
| 0010100 |
| 0011000 |
| 0011100 |
| 0100000 |
| 0110100 |
| 0111000 |
| 0111100 |
| 1000000 |

This address belongs to set 0
$\frac{000}{\text { tb }} \frac{00}{\text { si }} \frac{00}{\text { bo }}$

A cache is partitioned into sets A block can belong to only one set $k$-way associative cache: $k$ lines per set
E.g. 8 lines, 2-way associative nb of sets $=8 / 2=4$

$$
4=2^{2}
$$

2 represents the set index (si)

Cache


## Tag bits


E.g Block size: 4 bits

$$
4=2^{2}
$$

2 represents the
block offset (bo)

Matrix

## Load

in memory

| 0000000 |
| :--- |
| 0000100 |
| 0001000 |
| 0001100 |
| 0010000 |
| 0010100 |
| 0011000 |
| 0011100 |
| 0100000 |
| 0110100 |
| 0111000 |
| 0111100 |
| 1000000 |

This address belongs to set 0
$\frac{000}{\text { tb }} \frac{00}{\text { si }} \frac{00}{\text { bo }}$

A cache is partitioned into sets A block can belong to only one set $k$-way associative cache: $k$ lines per set
E.g. 8 lines, 2-way associative nb of sets $=8 / 2=4$

$$
4=2^{2}
$$

2 represents the set index (si)

Cache Tag bits


E.g Block size: 4 bits

$$
4=2^{2}
$$

2 represents the
block offset (bo)

Matrix

## Load

in memory

| 0000000 |
| :--- |
| 0000100 |
| 0001000 |
| 0001100 |
| 0010000 |
| 0010100 |
| 0011000 |
| 0011100 |
| 0100000 |
| 0110100 |
| 0111000 |
| 0111100 |
| 1000000 |

This address belongs to set 0
$\frac{000}{\text { tb }} \frac{00}{\text { si }} \frac{00}{\text { bo }}$

A cache is partitioned into sets A block can belong to only one set $k$-way associative cache: $k$ lines per set
E.g. 8 lines, 2-way associative nb of sets $=8 / 2=4$

$$
4=2^{2}
$$

2 represents the set index (si)

Cache Tag bits


| 000 |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

E.g Block size: 4 bits

$$
4=2^{2}
$$

2 represents the
block offset (bo)





Matrix in memory

| 0000000 |
| :--- |
| 0000100 |
| 0001000 |
| 0001100 |
| 0010000 |
| 0010100 |
| 0011000 |
| 0011100 |
| 0100000 |
| 0110100 |
| 0111000 |
| 0111100 |
| 1000000 |

E.g Block size: 4 bits

$$
4=2^{2}
$$

2 represents the
block offset (bo)

Load

$\frac{000}{\text { tb }} \frac{00}{\text { si }} \frac{00}{\text { bo }}$

A cache is partitioned into sets A block can belong to only one set $k$-way associative cache: $k$ lines per set
E.g. 8 lines, 2-way associative nb of sets $=8 / 2=4$

$$
4=2^{2}
$$

2 represents the set index (si)


Matrix
Load in memory


A cache is partitioned into sets A block can belong to only one set k-way associative cache: $k$ lines per set
E.g. 8 lines, 2-way associative nb of sets $=8 / 2=4$

$$
4=2^{2}
$$

2 represents the set index (si)
E.g Block size: 4 bits

Cache


## Tag bits



$$
4=2^{2}
$$

2 represents the
block offset (bo)

Matrix
in memory

| 0000000 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0000100 |  |
| 0001000 |  |
| 0001100 |  |
| 0010000 |  |
| 0010100 |  |
| 0011000 |  |
| 0011100 |  |
| 0010000 |  |
| 0 |  |
| 0 |  |
| 0110100 |  |
| 0111000 |  |
| 011100 |  |
| 1000000 |  |

## Load

E.g. 8 lines, 2-way associative nb of sets $=8 / 2=4$

$$
4=2^{2}
$$

2 represents the set index (si)
E.g Block size: 4 bits

$$
4=2^{2}
$$

2 represents the
block offset (bo)
. 9 Block size: 4 bits

A cache is partitioned into sets A block can belong to only one set $k$-way associative cache: $k$ lines per set k-w associativecache.k lines per set

Cache


## Tag bits



Matrix
Load
in memory

| 0000000 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0000100 |  |
| 0001000 |  |
| 0001100 |  |
| 0010000 |  |
| 0010100 |  |
| 0011000 |  |
| 0011100 |  |
| 0010000 |  |
| 0 |  |
| 0110100 |  |
| 0111000 |  |
| 0111100 |  |
| 1000000 |  |

A cache is partitioned into sets A block can belong to only one set $k$-way associative cache: $k$ lines per set
E.g. 8 lines, 2-way associative nb of sets $=8 / 2=4$

$$
4=2^{2}
$$

2 represents the set index (si)

Cache


## Tag bits


E.g Block size: 4 bits

$$
4=2^{2}
$$

2 represents the
block offset (bo)
E. 9 Block size: 4 bits

Matrix
Load in memory

| 0000000 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0000100 |  |
| 0001000 |  |
| 0001100 |  |
| 0010000 |  |
| 0010100 |  |
| 0011000 |  |
| 0011100 |  |
| 0100000 |  |
| 0110100 |  |
| 0 |  |
| 0111000 |  |
| 011100 |  |
| 1000000 |  |

E.g. 8 lines, 2-way associative nb of sets $=8 / 2=4$

$$
4=2^{2}
$$
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E.g Block size: 4 bits

$$
4=2^{2}
$$
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block offset (bo)
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E.g Block size: 4 bits

$$
4=2^{2}
$$

2 represents the
block offset (bo)
$\frac{100}{\mathrm{tb}} \frac{00}{\mathrm{si}} \frac{00}{\text { bo }}$
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| 1000000 |  |
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The distribution is not even, we used only one set
It will generate a lot of cache misses (conflict miss)
This constant stride is called the critical stride
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- Critical stride $=\mathrm{nb}$ sets x cache line size
- Example with an Intel Core i5-7300:
n n elements (variable)
- Cache line $=64$ bytes
- 32 KB, 8-way set associative, 64 sets
- Critical stride $=64 \times 64=4 \mathrm{~KB}$
- We reach a critical stride with $\mathrm{n}=512$ elements
- If $\mathrm{n}=512$, we are going to use 1 set only n

$$
8 \text { int64s - } 64 \text { bytes }
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- Critical stride $=\mathrm{nb}$ sets x cache line size
- Example with an Intel Core i5-7300:
n elements (variable)
- Cache line $=64$ bytes
- 32 KB, 8-way set associative, 64 sets
- Critical stride $=64 \times 64=4 \mathrm{~KB}$
- We reach a critical stride with $\mathrm{n}=512$ elements
- If $\mathrm{n}=512$, we are going to use 1 set only
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- Depending on my data, my application can occupy a fraction of the cache only
- Critical stride
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## Why Concurrency?



- Instead of focusing on clock speed, vendors focus on multicores and hyperthreading architectures
- The free lunch is over - Herb Sutter, 2005
- We cannot rely solely on the hardware to make our programs faster

Concurrency is the next major revolution in how we write software

```
type Struct struct {
    n int
}
var result int
func BenchmarkIteration(b *testing.B) {
    structA := Struct{} // Initialization
    structB := Struct{} // Initialization
    wg := sync.WaitGroup{}
    b.ResetTimer()
    for i := 0; i < b.N; i++ {
        wg.Add ( delta: 2)
        go func() { // Spin up first goroutine
            for j := 0; j < iteration; j++ {
                structA.n += j
            }
            wg.Done()
        }()
        go func() { // Spin up second goroutine
            for j := 0; j < iteration; j++ {
                structB.n += j
            }
            wg.Done()
        }()
        wg.Wait() // Wait
        result = structA.n + structB.n // Aggregate
    }
}
```
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```
type Struct struct {
    n int
```

var result int
func BenchmarkIteration(b *testing.B) \{
structA := Struct\{\} // Initialization
structB := Struct\{\} // Initialization
wg := sync.WaitGroup\{\}
b.ResetTimer()
for i := 0; i < b.N; i++ \{
wg.Add (delta: 2)
go func() \{ // Spin up first goroutine
for j := 0; j < iteration; j++ \{
structA.n += j
\}
wg.Done()
\}()
go func() \{ // Spin up second goroutine
for j := 0; j < iteration; j++ $\{$
structB.n $+=j$
\}
wg. Done()
\}()
wg.Wait() // Wait
result $=$ structA.n + structB.n // Aggregate
\}
\}

```
type Struct struct {
    n int
```

\}
var result int
func BenchmarkIteration(b *testing.B) $\{$

| structA $:=$ Struct\{\} // Initialization |
| :--- |
| structB $:=$ Struct $\} ~ / / ~ I n i t i a l i z a t i o n ~$ |

wg $:=$ sync. WaitGroup\{\}
b.ResetTimer()
b.ResetTimer()
for i := 0; i < b.N; i++ \{
wg.Add (delta: 2)
go func() \{ // Spin up first goroutine
for $j$ := 0; j < iteration; j++ \{
structA.n += j
\}
wg.Done()
\}()
go func() \{ // Spin up second goroutine
for $\mathbf{j}:=0 ; j<i t e r a t i o n ; ~ j++~\{$
structB.n += j
\}
wg.Done()
\}()
wg.Wait() // Wait
result $=$ structA.n + structB.n // Aggregate
$\}$
\}
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type Struct struct {
    n int
}
var result int
func BenchmarkIteration(b *testing.B) {
    structA := Struct{} // Initialization
    structB := Struct{} // Initialization
    wg := sync.WaitGroup{}
    b.ResetTimer()
    for i := 0; i < b.N; i++ {
        wg.Add ( delta: 2)
        go func() { // Spin up first goroutine
            for j := 0; j < iteration; j++ {
                structA.n += j
            }
            wg.Done()
        }()
        go func() { // Spin up second goroutine
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                structB.n += j
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        }()
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```
type Struct struct {
var result int
func BenchmarkIteration(b *testing.B) {
    structA := Struct{} // Initialization
    structB := Struct{} // Initialization
    wg := sync.WaitGroup{}
    b.ResetTimer()
    for i := 0; i < b.N; i++ {
        wg.Add ( delta: 2)
        go func() { // Spin up first goroutine
            for j := 0; j < iteration; j++ {
            structA.n += j
            }
            wg.Done()
        }()
        go func() { // Spin up second goroutine
            for j := 0; j < iteration; j++ {
                structB.n += j
            }
            wg.Done()
        }()
        wg.Wait() // Wait
        result = structA.n + structB.n // Aggregate
    }
}
```

Race-free implementation!

```
    n int
```

    n int
    }

```
}
```
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- What if both goroutines want to update their own lines
- The CPU must guarantee cache coherency
- MESI protocol (Modified, Exclusive, Shared, Invalid) TURKEY
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Update

- Why does it matter?
- False sharing - a cache line is shared across two cores with at least one goroutine being a writer
- Sharing memory is an illusion

```
type Struct struct {
    n int
```

\}
var result int
func BenchmarkIteration(b *testing.B) $\{$

| structA $:=$ Struct\{\} // Initialization |
| :--- |
| structB $:=$ Struct $\} ~ / / ~ I n i t i a l i z a t i o n ~$ |

wg $:=$ sync. WaitGroup\{\}
b.ResetTimer()
b.ResetTimer()
for i := 0; i < b.N; i++ \{
wg.Add (delta: 2)
go func() \{ // Spin up first goroutine
for $j$ := 0; j < iteration; j++ \{
structA.n += j
\}
wg.Done()
\}()
go func() \{ // Spin up second goroutine
for $\mathbf{j}:=0 ; j<i t e r a t i o n ; ~ j++~\{$
structB.n += j
\}
wg.Done()
\}()
wg.Wait() // Wait
result $=$ structA.n + structB.n // Aggregate
$\}$
\}


```
type Struct struct {
    n int
}
var result int
func BenchmarkIteration(b *testing.B) {
    structA := Struct{} // Initialization
    structB := Struct{} // Initialization
    wg := sync.WaitGroup{}
}
```
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type Struct struct {
    n int
}
var result int
func BenchmarkIteration(b *testing.B) {
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}
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## structA.n and structB.n belongs to
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```
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    b.ResetTimer()
    for i := 0; i < b.N; i++ {
    for i := 0; i < b.N; i++ {
        wg.Add ( delta: 2)
        wg.Add ( delta: 2)
        go func() { // Spin up first goroutine
        go func() { // Spin up first goroutine
            for j := 0; j < iteration; j++ {
            for j := 0; j < iteration; j++ {
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                structA.n += j
            }
            }
            wg.Done()
            wg.Done()
        }()
        }()
        go func() { // Spin up second goroutine
        go func() { // Spin up second goroutine
            for j := 0; j < iteration; j++ {
            for j := 0; j < iteration; j++ {
                structB.n += j
                structB.n += j
            }
            }
            wg.Done()
            wg.Done()
        }()
        }()
        wg.Wait() // Wait
        wg.Wait() // Wait
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    }
```



## False Sharing

## False Sharing

- How to prevent false sharing?


## False Sharing

- How to prevent false sharing?
- Solution 1:

Do not communicate by sharing memory; instead, share memory by communicating


## False Sharing

- How to prevent false sharing?
- Solution 1:

Do not communicate by sharing memory; instead, share memory by communicating

```
func BenchmarkIterationCommunication(b *testing.B) {
    ch := make(chan int, 2)
    for i := 0; i < b.N; i++ {
        go func() { // Spin up first goroutine
        i := 0 // Local state
        for j := 0; j < iteration; j++ {
            i += j
        }
        ch <- i
        }()
        go func() { // Spin up second goroutine
        i := 0 // Local state
        for j := 0; j < iteration; j++ {
            i += j
                }
            ch <- i
        }()
        result = <-ch + <-ch // Wait and aggregate
    }

\section*{False Sharing}
- How to prevent false sharing?
- Solution 1:

Do not communicate by sharing memory; instead, share memory by communicating
```

func BenchmarkIterationCommunication(b *testing.B) {
ch := make(chan int, 2)
for i := 0; i < b.N; i++ {
go func() { // Spin up first goroutine
i := 0 // Local state
i += j
}
ch <- i
}()
go func() { // Spin up second goroutine
i := 0 // Local state
i += j
}
ch <- i
}()
result = <-ch + <-ch // Wait and aggregate
}

## False Sharing

- How to prevent false sharing?
- Solution 1:

Do not communicate by sharing memory; instead, share memory by communicating

```
func BenchmarkIterationCommunication(b *testing.B) {
    ch := make(chan int, 2)
    for i := 0; i < b.N; i++ {
        go func() { // Spin up first goroutine
        i := 0 // Local state
        for j := 0; j < iteration; j++ {
        i += j
        }
        }()
        go func() { // Spin up second goroutine
            i := 0 // Local state
            for j := 0; j < iteration; j++ {
                        i += j
            }
            ch <- i
        }()
        result = <-ch + <-ch // Wait and aggregate
    }

\section*{False Sharing}
- How to prevent false sharing?
- Solution 1:

Do not communicate by sharing memory; instead, share memory by communicating
```

func BenchmarkIterationCommunication(b *testing.B) {
ch := make(chan int, 2)
for i := 0; i < b.N; i++ {
go func() { // Spin up first goroutine
i := 0 // Local state
for j := 0; j < iteration; j++ {
i += j
}
ch <- i
}()
go func() { // Spin up second goroutine
i := 0 // Local state
for j := 0; j < iteration; j++ {
i += j
}
ch <- i
}()
}
}
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## False Sharing

- How to prevent false sharing?
- Solution 2: padding
type PaddedStruct struct \{
_ cpu.CacheLinePad
n int
_ cpu.CacheLinePad
func BenchmarkIterationWithPadding(b *testing.B) \{
structA := PaddedStruct\{\} // Initialization
structB := PaddedStruct\{\} // Initialization wg := sync. WaitGroup\{\}
b.ResetTimer()
for i := 0; i < b.N; i++ \{
wg. Add (delta: 2)
go func() \{ // Spin up first goroutine for $j$ := 0; $j<i t e r a t i o n ; ~ j++~\{~$ structA.n += j
\}
wg.Done()
\}()
go func() \{ // Spin up second goroutine for $\mathrm{j}:=0$; j < iteration; j++ $\{$ structB.n += j
\}
wg.Done()
\}()
wg.Wait() // Wait
\}


## False Sharing

- How to prevent false sharing?
- Solution 2: padding

```
type PaddedStruct struct {
    _ cpu.CacheLinePad // 64 bytes
    n int
    _ cpu.CacheLinePad // 64 bytes
```

func BenchmarkIterationWithPadding(b *testing.B) \{
structA := PaddedStruct\{\} // Initialization
structB := PaddedStruct\{\} // Initialization
wg := sync.WaitGroup\{\}
b.ResetTimer()
for i := 0; i < b.N; i++ \{
wg.Add (delta: 2)
go func() \{ // Spin up first goroutine
for $j$ := 0; j < iteration; j++ \{
structA.n += j
\}
wg.Done()
\}()
go func() \{ // Spin up second goroutine
for $\mathrm{j}:=0$; j < iteration; j++ \{
structB.n += j
\}
wg.Done()
\}()
wg.Wait() // Wait
\}


## False Sharing

- How to prevent false sharing?
- Solution 2: padding

type PaddedStruct struct \{
_ cpu.CacheLinePad // 64 bytes
n int
_ cpu.CacheLinePad // 64 bytes
\}
func BenchmarkIterationWithPadding(b *testing.B) \{
structA := PaddedStruct\{\} // Initialization
structB := PaddedStruct\{\} // Initialization wg := sync.WaitGroup\{\}
b.ResetTimer()
for i := 0; i < b.N; i++ \{
wg. Add (delta: 2)
go func() \{ // Spin up first goroutine for $\mathrm{j}:=0 ; \mathrm{j}$ < iteration; j++ $\{$ structA.n += j
\}
wg.Done()
\}()
go func() \{ // Spin up second goroutine for j := 0; j < iteration; j++ \{ structB.n += j
\}
wg.Done()
\}()
wg.Wait() // Wait
\}
\}
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## False Sharing

- Let's compare the results:

- Padding is hard - Dave Cheney
- Sometimes, padding is necessary. E.g. we are obliged to share memory and we want to prevent false sharing (library, etc.).
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## 3 Main Takeaways

## What else?

- Sharing memory is an illusion
- A code that looks perfectly valid might still be problematic at CPU level:
- Caching distribution
- False sharing
- We can help the CPU with locality of reference and predictability (algorithms \& data structures)
- Watch out for premature:
- Optimisations
- Concurrency
- Mechanical sympathy goes beyond the very scope of CPUs



# Thank You 
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